

REMARKS

Claims 1-6, 10-18, 22-24, 26, 27, 29-33 and 36-39 stand rejected as anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,448,873 issued to Mostov. Applicants appreciate the Examiner's indication that Claims 7-9, 19, 21, 25, 28, 34 and 35 include allowable subject matter. Applicants respectfully traverse the Examiner's rejections and request reconsideration and full allowance of all pending claims.

Mostov discloses a suspended printed inductor connected in parallel to a suspended printed interdigital capacitor that form a parallel resonant circuit for use in RF filters.

Mostov cannot anticipate or make obvious Applicants' claimed invention because Mostov fails to teach, disclose or suggest all elements recited by Applicants' independent Claims 1, 10, 22, 29 or 36. The Examiner asserts that Figure 10 discloses all elements of the rejected claims but fails to meet his burden of providing evidence of anticipation that specifically points out each element of each rejected claim as allegedly disclosed by Mostov. Applicants respectfully submit that Mostov fails to teach, disclose or suggest all elements recited by Claims 1, 10, 22, 29, and 36. For instance, the capacitive element referenced by the Examiner does not "connect" to the first and second pads, such as is recited by Claim 1. As another example, the serpentine conductor 128 does not "terminate" at capacitor 132, which, in fact, connects in parallel to the same conductive portion as serpentine conductor 128. The Examiner's rejection is deficient in numerous other ways because the Examiner has inexplicably ignored elements recited by numerous dependent claims, such as the recitation of operating power and ground conductors in Claims 11 and 12, or the construction of the terminating capacitor as recited by Claim 26. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that all pending claims are allowable and seek issuance of a patent without further delay.

CONCLUSION


In view of the remarks set forth herein, the application is believed to be in condition for allowance and a notice to that effect is solicited. Nonetheless, should any issues remain that might be subject to resolution through a telephonic interview, the examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being sent to the
USPTO via the USPTO Central Facsimile on July 6, 2004.


Attorney for Applicant(s)

6 July 2004
Date of Signature

Respectfully submitted,


Robert W. Holland
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Reg. No. 40,020